Lecture 3: Buffer Overflows
### Traveler Information

#### Traveler 1 - Adults (age 18 to 64)

To comply with the [TSA Secure Flight program](https://www.tsa.gov), the traveler information listed here must exactly match the information on the government-issued photo ID that the traveler presents at the airport.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title (optional):</th>
<th>First Name:</th>
<th>Middle Name:</th>
<th>Last Name:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dr.</td>
<td>Alice</td>
<td></td>
<td>Smith</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender:</th>
<th>Date of Birth:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>01/24/93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Travelers are required to enter a middle name/initial if one is listed on their government-issued photo ID.

Some younger travelers are not required to present an ID when traveling within the U.S. [Learn more](https://www.tsa.gov/travel/age).

- **Known Traveler Number/Pass ID (optional):**
- **Redress Number (optional):**

Seat Request:
- [ ] No Preference
- [ ] Aisle
- [ ] Window
Traveler Information

**Traveler 1 - Adults (age 18 to 64)**

To comply with the [TSA Secure Flight program](https://www.tsa.gov), the traveler information listed here must exactly match the information on the government-issued photo ID that the traveler presents at the airport.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title (optional):</th>
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**Redress Number (optional):**
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- No Preference
- Aisle
- Window
#293 HRE-THR 850 1930
ALICE SMITHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
HHACH
SPECIAL INSTRUX: NONE

How could Alice exploit this? Find a partner and talk it through.
Traveler Information

Traveler 1 - Adults (age 18 to 64)

To comply with the [TSA Secure Flight program](https://www.tsa.gov), the traveler information listed here must exactly match the information on the government-issued photo ID that the traveler presents at the airport.

Title (optional): Dr.  First Name: Alice  Middle Name:  Last Name: Smith  First

Gender: Female  Date of Birth: 01/24/93

Travelers are required to enter a middle name/initial if one is listed on their government-issued photo ID.

Some younger travelers are not required to present an ID when traveling within the U.S. [Learn more](https://www.tsa.gov/travel/secure-flight-program).

**+ Known Traveler Number/Pass ID (optional):**

**+ Redress Number (optional):**
#293 HRE-THR 850 1930
ALICE SMITH
FIRST

SPECIAL INSTRUX: NONE
char name[20];

void vulnerable() {
    ...
    gets(name);
    ...
}

```c
char name[20];
char instrux[80] = "none";

void vulnerable() {
    ...
    gets(name);
    ...
}
```
char name[20];
int seatinfirstclass = 0;

void vulnerable() {
    ...
    gets(name);
    ...
}
char name[20];
int authenticated = 0;

void vulnerable() {
    ...
    gets(name);
    ...
}
char line[512];
char command[] = "/usr/bin/finger";

void main() {
    ...
    gets(line);
    ...
    execv(command, ...);
}
char name[20];
int (*fnptr)();

void vulnerable() {
  ...
  gets(name);
  ...
}
Below is a brief listing of the weaknesses in the 2019 CWE Top 25, including the overall score of each.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[1]</td>
<td>CWE-119</td>
<td>Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer</td>
<td>75.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2]</td>
<td>CWE-79</td>
<td>Improper Neutralization of Input During Web Page Generation ('Cross-site Scripting')</td>
<td>45.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[3]</td>
<td>CWE-20</td>
<td>Improper Input Validation</td>
<td>43.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[6]</td>
<td>CWE-89</td>
<td>Improper Neutralization of Special Elements used in an SQL Command ('SQL Injection')</td>
<td>24.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[7]</td>
<td>CWE-416</td>
<td>Use After Free</td>
<td>17.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[8]</td>
<td>CWE-190</td>
<td>Integer Overflow or Wraparound</td>
<td>17.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[9]</td>
<td>CWE-352</td>
<td>Cross-Site Request Forgery (CSRF)</td>
<td>15.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[10]</td>
<td>CWE-22</td>
<td>Improper Limitation of a Pathname to a Restricted Directory ('Path Traversal')</td>
<td>14.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[11]</td>
<td>CWE-78</td>
<td>Improper Neutralization of Special Elements used in an OS Command ('OS Command Injection')</td>
<td>11.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[12]</td>
<td>CWE-787</td>
<td>Out-of-bounds Write</td>
<td>11.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[14]</td>
<td>CWE-476</td>
<td>NULL Pointer Dereference</td>
<td>9.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[16]</td>
<td>CWE-434</td>
<td>Unrestricted Upload of File with Dangerous Type</td>
<td>5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[17]</td>
<td>CWE-611</td>
<td>Improper Restriction of XML External Entity Reference</td>
<td>5.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
void vulnerable() {
    char buf[64];
    ...
    gets(buf);
    ...
}
void still_vulnerable?() {
    char *buf = malloc(64);
    ...
    gets(buf);
    ...
}
IE's Role in the Google-China War

By Richard Adhikari
TechNewsWorld
01/15/10 12:25 PM PT

The back attack on Google that set off the company's ongoing standoff with China appears to have come through a zero-day flaw in Microsoft's Internet Explorer browser. Microsoft has released a security advisory, and researchers are hard at work studying the exploit. The attack appears to consist of several files, each a different piece of malware.

Computer security companies are scurrying to cope with the fallout from the Internet Explorer (IE) flaw that led to cyberattacks on Google (Nasdaq: GOOG) and its corporate and individual customers.

The zero-day attack that exploited IE is part of a lethal cocktail of malware that is keeping researchers very busy.

"We're discovering things on an up-to-the-minute basis, and we've seen about a dozen files dropped on infected PCs so far," Dmitri Alperovitch, vice president of research at McAfee Labs, told TechNewsWorld.

The attacks on Google, which appeared to originate in China, have sparked a feud between the Internet giant and the nation's government over censorship, and it could result in Google pulling away from its business dealings in the country.

Pointing to the Flaw

The vulnerability in IE is an invalid pointer reference, Microsoft (Nasdaq: MSFT) said in security advisory 979352, which it issued on Thursday. Under certain conditions, the invalid pointer can be accessed after an object is deleted, the advisory states. In specially crafted attacks, like the ones launched against Google and its customers, IE can allow remote execution of code when the flaw is exploited.
Disclaimer: x86-32

• For this class, we are going to use 32-bit x86
  • Almost everyone in this class has access to an x86 system: Mac, Linux, Windows...
• But these attacks do apply to other microarchitectures
Linux (32-bit) process memory layout

- Reserved for Kernel
- User stack
- Shared libraries
- Run time heap
- Static data segment
- Text segment (program)
- Unused

Symbols and addresses:
- $esp
- brk
- Loaded from exec
- Unused

Addresses:
- $0x00000000
- $0xFFFFFFFF
- $0xC0000000
- $0x40000000
- $0x08048000
- $0x00000000
The main x86 registers…

- **EAX-EDX**: General purpose registers
- **EBP**: “Frame pointer”: points to the start of the current call frame on the stack
- **ESP**: “Stack pointer”: points to the current stack
  - **PUSH**: Decrement the stack pointer and store something there
  - **POP**: Load something and increment the stack pointer
x86 function calling

- Place the arguments on the stack
- CALL the function
  - Which pushes the return address onto the stack (RIP == Return Instruction Pointer)
- Function saves old EBP on the stack (SFP == Saved Frame Pointer)
- Function does its stuff
- Function restores everything
  - Reload EBP, pop ESP as necessary
- RET
  - Which jumps to the return address that is currently pointed to by ESP
  - And can optionally pop the stack a lot further…
Buffer Overflows
arguments
return address
saved frame pointer
exception handlers
local variables
callee saved registers

To the point at which this function was called

To previous saved frame pointer
void safe() {
    char buf[64];
    ...
    fgets(buf, 64, stdin);
    ...
}

void safer() {
    char buf[64];
    ...
    fgets(buf, sizeof(buf), stdin);
    ...
}
Assume these are both under the control of an attacker.

```c
void vulnerable(int len, char *data) {
    char buf[64];
    if (len > 64)
        return;
    memcpy(buf, data, len);
}
```

`memcpy(void *s1, const void *s2, size_t n);`

`size_t` is *unsigned*.

What happens if `len == -1`?
void safe(size_t len, char *data) {
    char buf[64];
    if (len > 64)
        return;
    memcpy(buf, data, len);
}
void f(size_t len, char *data) {
    char *buf = malloc(len+2);
    if (buf == NULL) return;
    memcpy(buf, data, len);
    buf[len] = '\n';
    buf[len+1] = '\0';
}

Vulnerable!
If \texttt{len} = \texttt{0xffffffff}, \texttt{allocates only 1 byte}

Is it safe? Talk to your partner.
Broward Vote-Counting Blunder Changes Amendment Result

POSTED: 1:34 pm EST November 4, 2004

BROWARD COUNTY, Fla. -- The Broward County Elections Department has egg on its face today after a computer glitch misreported a key amendment race, according to WPLG-TV in Miami.

Amendment 4, which would allow Miami-Dade and Broward counties to hold a future election to decide if slot machines should be allowed at racetracks, was thought to be tied. But now that a computer glitch for machines counting absentee ballots has been exposed, it turns out the amendment passed.

"The software is not geared to count more than 32,000 votes in a precinct. So what happens when it gets to 32,000 is the software starts counting backward," said Broward County Mayor Ilene Lieberman.

That means that Amendment 4 passed in Broward County by more than 240,000 votes rather than the 166,000-vote margin reported Wednesday night. That increase changes the overall statewide results in what had been a neck-and-neck race, one for which recounts had been going on today. But with news of Broward’s error, it’s clear amendment 4 passed.
Memory Safety
void vulnerable() {
    char buf[64];
    if (fgets(buf, 64, stdin) == NULL)
        return;
    printf(buf);
}
printf("you scored %d\n", score);
printf("you scored \n", score);

score
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printf("a %s costs $%d\n", item, price);
printf("a \% costs $\%\n", item, price);
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Fun With `printf` format strings...

```c
printf("100% dude!" Lana
```
printf("100% dude!");

printf("%dude! "));

0x8048464

0x8048464
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More Fun With `printf` format strings...

```c
printf("100% dude!");
⇒ prints value 4 bytes above retaddr as integer

printf("100% sir!");
⇒ prints bytes pointed to by that stack entry up through first NUL

printf("%d %d %d %d ...");
⇒ prints series of stack entries as integers

printf("%d %s");
⇒ prints value 8 bytes above retaddr plus bytes pointed to by preceding stack entry

printf("100% nuke’m!");
```

What does the `%n` format do??
int report_cost(int item_num, int price) {
    int colon_offset;
    printf("item %d:%n $%d\n", item_num,
            &colon_offset, price);
    return colon_offset;
}

report_cost(3, 22) prints "item 3: $22"
and returns the value 7

report_cost(987, 5) prints "item 987: $5"
and returns the value 9

%n writes the number of characters printed so far into the corresponding format argument.
Fun With `printf` format strings...

```c
printf("100% dude!");
⇒ prints value 4 bytes above retaddr as integer

printf("100% sir!");
⇒ prints bytes pointed to by that stack entry up through first NUL

printf("%d %d %d %d ..." );
⇒ prints series of stack entries as integers

printf("%d %s");
⇒ prints value 8 bytes above retaddr plus bytes pointed to by preceding stack entry

printf("100% nuke’m!");
⇒ writes the value 3 to the address pointed to by stack entry
```
void safe() {
    char buf[64];
    if (fgets(buf, 64, stdin) == NULL)
        return;
    printf("%s", buf);
}
It isn't just the stack...

- Control flow attacks require that the attacker overwrite a piece of memory that contains a pointer for future code execution
  - The return address on the stack is just the easiest target
- You can cause plenty of mayhem overwriting memory in the heap...
  - And it is made easier when targeting C++
- Allows alternate ways to hijack control flow of the program
class Foo {
    int i, j, k;
    public virtual void bar() { ... }
    public virtual void baz() { ... }
    ....

    vtable ptr (class Foo)
    i
    j
    k

    ptr to Foo::bar
    ptr to Foo::baz
    ...
    ...
A Few Exploit Techniques

• If you can overwrite a vtable pointer…
  • It is effectively the same as overwriting the return address pointer on the stack:
    When the function gets invoked the control flow is hijacked to point to the attacker’s code
  • The only difference is that instead of overwriting with a pointer you overwrite it with a pointer to a
    table of pointers...

• Heap Overflow:
  • A buffer in the heap is not checked:
    Attacker writes beyond and overwrites the vtable pointer of the next object in memory

• Use-after-free:
  • An object is deallocated too early:
    Attacker writes new data in a newly reallocated block that overwrites the vtable pointer
  • Object is then invoked
Exploits can often be very brittle
- You see this on your Project 1: Your ./egg will not work on someone else's VM because the memory layout is different

Making an exploit robust is an art unto itself
- EXTRABACON is an NSA exploit for Cisco ASA “Adaptive Security Appliances”
- It had an exploitable stack-overflow vulnerability in the
- But actual exploitation required two steps:
  - Query for the particular version (with an SMTP read)
  - Select the proper set of magic numbers for that version
A hack that helps: NOOP sled...

• Don't just overwrite the pointer and then provide the code you want to execute...

• Instead, write a large number of NOOP operations
  • Instructions that do nothing

• Now if you are a little off, it doesn't matter
  • Since if you are close enough, control flow will land in the sled and start running...
ETERNALBLUE

- ETERNALBLUE is another NSA exploit
- Stolen by the same group ("ShadowBrokers")
- Remote exploit for Windows through SMBv1 (Windows File sharing)
- Eventually it was very robust...
- But initially it was jokingly called ETERNALBLUE crash Windows computers more reliably than ever

Current and former officials defended the agency’s handling of EternalBlue, saying that the NSA must use such volatile tools to fulfill its mission of gathering foreign intelligence. In the case of EternalBlue, the intelligence haul was “unreal,” said one...

The NSA also made upgrades to EternalBlue to address its penchant for crashing targeted computers — a problem that earned it the nickname “EternalBlueScreen” in reference to the eerie blue screen often displayed by computers in distress.
Memory Safety

- Memory Safety: No accesses to undefined memory
  - "Undefined" is with respect to the semantics of the programming language
  - Read Access: attacker can read memory that he isn't supposed to
  - Write Access: attacker can write memory that she isn't supposed to
  - Execute Access: transfer control flow to memory they aren’t supposed to

- Spatial safety: No access out of bounds
- Temporal safety: No access before or after lifetime of object
Below is a brief listing of the weaknesses in the 2019 CWE Top 25, including the overall score of each.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[1]</td>
<td>CWE-119</td>
<td>Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer</td>
<td>75.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2]</td>
<td>CWE-79</td>
<td>Improper Neutralization of Input During Web Page Generation ('Cross-site Scripting')</td>
<td>45.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[3]</td>
<td>CWE-20</td>
<td>Improper Input Validation</td>
<td>43.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[6]</td>
<td>CWE-89</td>
<td>Improper Neutralization of Special Elements used in an SQL Command ('SQL Injection')</td>
<td>24.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[7]</td>
<td>CWE-416</td>
<td>Use After Free</td>
<td>17.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[8]</td>
<td>CWE-190</td>
<td>Integer Overflow or Wraparound</td>
<td>17.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[9]</td>
<td>CWE-352</td>
<td>Cross-Site Request Forgery (CSRF)</td>
<td>15.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[10]</td>
<td>CWE-22</td>
<td>Improper Limitation of a Pathname to a Restricted Directory ('Path Traversal')</td>
<td>14.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[11]</td>
<td>CWE-78</td>
<td>Improper Neutralization of Special Elements used in an OS Command ('OS Command Injection')</td>
<td>11.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[12]</td>
<td>CWE-787</td>
<td>Out-of-bounds Write</td>
<td>11.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[14]</td>
<td>CWE-476</td>
<td>NULL Pointer Dereference</td>
<td>9.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[16]</td>
<td>CWE-434</td>
<td>Unrestricted Upload of File with Dangerous Type</td>
<td>5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[17]</td>
<td>CWE-611</td>
<td>Improper Restriction of XML External Entity Reference</td>
<td>5.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>