



**Problem 1 Potpourri Question**

**(16 points)**

(a) TRUE or FALSE: Unlike CTR mode, CBC offers integrity against flipping bits of the ciphertext.

- TRUE  FALSE

**Solution:** Bit flipping in CBC can cause different decryption result.

(b) TRUE or FALSE: ASLR helps prevent buffer overflow attacks by randomizing the relative position of a buffer with respect to the overwriteable return instruction pointer on the stack.

- TRUE  FALSE

(c) TRUE or FALSE: ASLR helps prevent buffer overflow attacks by randomizing the relative order in which function stack frames are placed on the stack.

- TRUE  FALSE

**Solution:** ASLR randomizes the start of different memory sections, but does not affect the order of each function's stack frame.

(d) TRUE or FALSE: It is possible to use the ret2esp attack from Project 1 when W^X is enabled.

- TRUE  FALSE

**Solution:** As described, the ret2esp attack is not possible because the shellcode can only be placed on the stack. W^X/NX/DEP will prevent the shellcode from executing, so the attack will fail.

(e) TRUE or FALSE: Sandboxing different parts of an application can help reduce the size of the TCB.

- TRUE  FALSE

(f) TRUE or FALSE: Symmetric key encryption is faster than asymmetric key encryption.

- TRUE  FALSE

(g) Let  $m$  be a message, let  $E_k$  be any IND-CPA encryption scheme and  $MAC_k$  be any secure MAC function. Let  $k$  be a randomly generated key. Write  $C = E_k(m)$ .

TRUE or FALSE: If an eavesdropper sees  $C || MAC_k(C)$ , the message  $m$  is still confidential.

TRUE

FALSE

**Solution:** Nope, reuses key  $k$ ! It is **incorrect** in general to reuse a key for two different purposes, as discussed in the 2/19 and 2/21 lectures.

(h) Mallory is a man-in-the-middle attacker, but Alice and Bob want to send messages to each other without her interference. Which of the following properties **alone** is enough to ensure that Mallory can **neither read nor tamper** with any of their messages?

Confidentiality

Authenticity

Polytime Hardness

Integrity

Availability

None of the above

**Solution:** None of the properties alone are enough.

**Problem 2 Greetings from Mallory**

**(9 points)**

The following program has two security-critical vulnerabilities. APPENDIX: See the Appendix for a list of C functions.

```
1 void get_name(char *prompt, char *greeting) {
2     printf(prompt);
3     int fd = 0; // stdin
4     char *buf = greeting + strlen(greeting); // remaining buffer
5     size_t count = sizeof(greeting) - strlen(greeting); // size left
6     read(fd, buf, count);
7 }
8
9 int main() {
10    char prompt[] = "Please enter your name:\n";
11    char greeting[64] = "Welcome back, ";
12    get_name(prompt, greeting);
13    printf(greeting);
14 }
```

Identify the two security-critical vulnerabilities in the code. For each vulnerability, provide the line number and a short explanation. (GRADING NOTE: You will receive six points if you find one vulnerability, and nine points if you find both vulnerabilities.)

**(a) Vulnerability 1:**

◊ Line number: \_\_\_\_\_

◊ Explanation: (20 words max)

---

---

**(b) Vulnerability 2:**

◊ Line number: \_\_\_\_\_

◊ Explanation: (20 words max)

---

---

**Solution:**

- Vulnerability 1:

Line 5

`sizeof(greeting)` evaluates to `sizeof(char *)`, which is 4 and not 64, so count underflows and becomes a large unsigned number. The read operation can then overflow past the end of the `greeting` buffer.

This can be exploited by overwriting the saved return address of function `main` and hijacking the control-flow of the program.

- Vulnerability 2:

Line 13

String format vulnerability, since contents of the `greeting` argument are controlled by the attacker, who can insert format modifiers `%s`, `%n`, etc.

A string format vulnerability is very dangerous and can actually allow arbitrary code execution through special use of `%n` and other formats.

- Another issue (partial credit):

Another issue with this program is that the `greeting` string is not guaranteed to be null terminated after the read operation. This allows the `printf` function on line 13 to read past the end of the `greeting` buffer. On this particular program, this is not security-critical, because after the `greeting` buffer it will find the prompt buffer, which is null terminated and doesn't give any new information to the attacker.

**Problem 3** *Prince of Security*

**(8 points)**

- (a) Rather than using a password manager, you decide to hide your passwords under the directory `old-tax-returns/old-things/not-secret/passwords`, reasoning that it is secure because hackers won't be able to find them. Which security principle does this violate?
- Least privilege  Consider human factors
- Shannon's maxim  Know your threat model
- (b) At night, you cannot enter Etcheverry without special cardkey access. However you can get around this by going to the second floor of Soda, and then using your cardkey to open the Etcheverry-Soda door on the second floor. Which security principle does this violate?
- Ensure complete mediation  Consider human factors
- Shannon's maxim  Least privilege
- (c) You enjoy CS 161 and decide to become the head TA! The front desk hands you physical keys: some access the printing room and some access a closet full of exam questions. You give away only the keys which access the printing room to the TAs in charge of printing discussion worksheets. Which security principle did you consider?
- Ensure complete mediation  Division of trust
- Design in security from the start  Least privilege
- (d) In certain government agencies, employees are required to use government-approved phones for work. Some employees find these phones too difficult to use, so they do work on their personal phones instead. Which security principles does this violate?
- Ensure complete mediation  Division of trust
- Least privilege  Consider human factors

**Problem 4 AES-CBC-STAR****(13 points)**

Let  $E_k$  and  $D_k$  be the AES block cipher in encryption and decryption mode, respectively.

- (a) We invent a new encryption scheme called AES-CBC-STAR. A message  $M$  is broken up into plaintext blocks  $M_1, \dots, M_n$  each of which is 128 bits. Our encryption procedure is:

$$C_0 = \text{IV (generated randomly)},$$

$$C_i = E_k(C_{i-1} \oplus M_i) \oplus C_{i-1}.$$

where  $\oplus$  is bit-wise XOR.

- ◊ Write the equation to decrypt  $M_i$  in terms of the ciphertext blocks and the key  $k$ .

**Solution:**  $M_i = D_k(C_i \oplus C_{i-1}) \oplus C_{i-1}$ .

- (b) Mark each of the properties below that AES-CBC-STAR satisfies. Assume that the plaintexts are 100 blocks long, and that  $10 \leq i \leq 20$ .

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Encryption is parallelizable.  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> If $C_i$ is lost, then $C_{i-1}$ can still be decrypted.  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Decryption is parallelizable.   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> If $C_i$ is lost, then $C_{i+2}$ can still be decrypted.  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> If $C_i$ is lost, then $C_{i+1}$ can still be decrypted.   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> If $C_i$ is lost, then $C_{i-2}$ can still be decrypted.  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> If we flip the least significant bit of $C_i$ , this always flips the least significant bit in $P_i$ of the decrypted plaintext.         | <input type="checkbox"/> If we flip the least significant bit of $C_i$ , this always flips the least significant bit in $P_{i+1}$ of the decrypted plaintext. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> If we flip a bit of $M_i$ and re-encrypt using the same IV, the encryption is the same except the corresponding bit of $C_i$ is flipped. | <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary to pad plaintext to the blocksize of AES when encrypting with AES-CBC-STAR.                                      |

- (c) Now we consider a modified version of AES-CBC-STAR, which we will call AES-CBC-STAR-STAR. Instead of generating the IV randomly, the challenger uses a list of random numbers which are public and known to the adversary. Let  $IV_i$  be the IV which will be used to encrypt the  $i$ th message from the adversary.

- ◊ Argue that the adversary can win the IND-CPA game.

**Solution:** Adversary sends two arbitrary (unequal but equal length), one-block messages  $(M, M')$  as the challenge. The resulting ciphertext is either  $C_0 = IV_0 || E_k(IV_0 \oplus M) \oplus IV_0$  or  $C_0 = IV_0 || E_k(IV_0 \oplus M') \oplus IV_0$ .

Next the adversary sends  $IV_1 \oplus IV_0 \oplus M$ . The resulting ciphertext is  $C_1 = IV_1 || E_k(IV_1 \oplus (IV_0 \oplus IV_1 \oplus M)) \oplus IV_1$ , which simplifies to  $IV_1 || E_k(IV_0 \oplus M) \oplus IV_1$ . If the second block of  $C_1 \oplus IV_1$  equals the second block of  $C_0 \oplus IV_0$ , then the challenger encrypted  $M$ . Otherwise the challenger encrypted  $M'$ . Hence we break IND-CPA with advantage significantly above  $\frac{1}{2}$  (in fact such an adversary wins all the time).

An alternative solution is to send the challenger ciphertexts  $M = IV_1$  and  $M' = \text{anything else}$ . If the challenger encrypts  $M$ , the message received is  $E_k(0) \oplus IV_1$ . Then for the second message, send  $IV_2$ . If the output ciphertext  $\oplus IV_1 \oplus IV_2$  equals the challenge ciphertext, then the challenger encrypted  $M$ . Otherwise they encrypted  $M'$ .



**Problem 5 Extreme conditioning****(9 points)**

Consider the following code:

```
1 int my_strcmp(char *s1, char *s2) {
2     size_t i = 0;
3     while (s1[i]) {
4         /** part b **/
5         if (s1[i] != s2[i]) {
6             break;
7         }
8         i++;
9     }
10    char uc1 = *s1, uc2 = *s2;
11    if (uc1 < uc2) return -1;
12    return uc1 > uc2;
13 }
```

- (a) Consider the preconditions necessary to ensure memory safety. What is required about null termination and length of the strings?

◊ Write **at most two** preconditions, of **at most ten words each**.

**Solution:** (1) s1 must be null terminated

(2) The length of s1 also cannot be greater than the length of s2 or s2 must be null terminated, otherwise we would read past the end of s2.

- (b) State one invariant at **line 4** about s1 that is about memory safety. Do not include an invariant which is already a precondition.

◊ Write this invariant.

**Solution:** for all x in [0, i], s1[x] != '\0' OR 0 <= i < strlen(s1) [these two are equivalent]

**Problem 6 Please, Just Use HMAC**

**(8 points)**

Alice and Bob are partners struggling through their CS 161 project, and need to share code with one another, but their only option is to pass messages through an insecure server in Soda. They are afraid another student, Mallory, might read or tamper with the messages.

They have already established public-keys ( $P_A$  and  $P_B$ ), secret keys ( $S_A$  and  $S_B$ ) and two shared symmetric keys ( $k$  and  $k'$ ). Using these, the SHA3 cryptographic hash function (SHA3), and an IND-CPA secure symmetric-key encryption ( $Enc_k$ ), Alice proposes a set of ways to send her messages ( $M$ ) to Bob. Note that  $\parallel$  denotes the concatenation operation.

(a) Mark which of her following proposals provide confidentiality and allow Bob to retrieve the message  $M$  in the presence of only passive adversaries. (Select all that apply.)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> $M \parallel \text{SHA3}(M)$               | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $Enc_k(M)$                            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> $\text{SHA3}(M \parallel k')$              | <input type="checkbox"/> $M \parallel \text{SHA3}(M \parallel S_A)$       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> $M \parallel \text{SHA3}(M \parallel P_B)$ | <input type="checkbox"/> $Enc_k(M) \parallel \text{SHA3}(M \parallel k')$ |

**Solution:**

Any protocol that provides the plaintext ( $M$ ) in the clear does not provide confidentiality.  $Enc$  is an IND-CPA encryption function, as is by definition confidential.

Since cryptographic hash functions are deterministic, they do not provide confidentiality. In particular, an attacker can tell if the same message is sent twice.

(b) Mark which of her following proposals provide integrity. (Select all that apply.)

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> $M \parallel \text{SHA3}(M)$               | <input type="checkbox"/> $Enc_k(M)$  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> $\text{SHA3}(M \parallel k')$              | <input type="checkbox"/> $M \parallel \text{SHA3}(M \parallel S_A)$                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> $M \parallel \text{SHA3}(M \parallel P_B)$ | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $Enc_k(M) \parallel \text{SHA3}(M \parallel k')$ |

**Solution:** Any proposal that does not include any secret information cannot provide integrity, since the entire ciphertext can be recomputed by the adversary Mallory. This includes proposals that use  $P_B$ , since this is a *publicly*-known key.

$H(M \parallel K)$  fails to provide integrity, since the original message is not recoverable (Bob cannot invert the cryptographic hash function). Bob does not have access to Alice's secret key, and can never compute  $H(M \parallel S_A)$  to verify that  $M$  was not tampered with.

Lastly,  $Enc_K(M)$  fails to provide integrity even though Mallory doesn't know  $K$ : she doesn't have to create a valid encryption to tamper with the original. While tampered messages will likely decrypt to random bits, this is still often useful (i.e., Alice is sending a new random key).

**Problem 7 ElGamal and friends****(15 points)**

Bob wants his pipes fixed and invites independent plumbers to send him bids for their services (*i.e.*, the fees they charge). Alice is a plumber and wants to submit a bid to Bob. Alice and Bob want to preserve the confidentiality of Alice's bid, but the communication channel between them is insecure. Therefore, they decide to use the ElGamal public key encryption scheme in order to communicate privately.

Instead of using the traditional version of the ElGamal scheme, Alice and Bob use the following variant. As usual, Bob's private key is  $x$  and his public key is  $PK = (p, g, h)$ , where  $h = g^x \bmod p$ . However, to send a message  $M$  to Bob, Alice encrypts  $M$  as  $Enc_{PK}(M) = (s, t)$ , where  $s = g^r \bmod p$  and  $t = g^M \times h^r \bmod p$ , for a randomly chosen  $r$ .

- (a) Consider two distinct messages  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ . Let  $Enc_{PK}(m_1) = (s_1, t_1)$  and  $Enc_{PK}(m_2) = (s_2, t_2)$ . For the given variant of the ElGamal scheme, which of the following is true?
- $(s_1 + s_2 \bmod p, t_1 + t_2 \bmod p)$  is a possible value for  $Enc_{PK}(m_1 + m_2)$ .
  - $(s_1 \times s_2 \bmod p, t_1 \times t_2 \bmod p)$  is a possible value for  $Enc_{PK}(m_1 + m_2)$ .
  - $(s_1 \times s_2 \bmod p, t_1 \times t_2 \bmod p)$  is a possible value for  $Enc_{PK}(m_1 \times m_2)$ .
  - $(s_1 + s_2 \bmod p, t_1 + t_2 \bmod p)$  is a possible value for  $Enc_{PK}(m_1 \times m_2)$ .
  - None of these
- (b) In order to decrypt a ciphertext  $(s, t)$ , Bob starts by calculating  $q = ts^{-x} \bmod p$ . Assume that the message  $M$  is between 0 and 1000. How can Bob recover  $M$  from  $q$ ?

**Solution:** If Bob knows the possible set of messages, then he can pre-compute a lookup table for values of  $q = g^M \bmod p$ .

- (c) Explain why Bob cannot efficiently recover  $M$  from  $q$  if  $M$  is randomly chosen such that  $0 \leq M < p$ .

**Solution:** Requires solving the discrete log mod  $p$ , which is thought to be computationally hard.

- (d) Suppose Alice sends Bob a bid  $M_0 = 500$ , encrypted under Bob's public key. We let  $C_0 = (s, t)$  be the ciphertext here.

Mallory is an active man-in-the-middle attacker who knows Alice's bid is  $M_0 = 500$ . Mallory wants to replace Alice's bid with  $M_1 = 999$ . To do that, Mallory intercepts  $C_0$  and replaces it with another ciphertext  $C_1$ . Mallory wishes that when Bob decrypts  $C_1$ , Bob sees  $M_1 = 999$ .

Describe how Mallory creates  $C_1$  in each of the following situations:

1. Mallory didn't obtain  $C_0$ , but knows Bob's public key  $PK = (p, g, h)$ .

◇ Question: How should Mallory create  $C_1$ ?

**Solution:** Mallory can simply encrypt  $M$  of her choice using Bob's public key and replace the ciphertext.

2. Mallory knows Alice's ciphertext  $C_0$ , but only knows  $p$  and  $g$  in Bob's public key  $PK = (p, g, h)$ . (That is to say, Mallory does not know  $h$ .)

◇ Question: How should Mallory create  $C_1$ ?

**Solution:** Mallory can create  $(s', t') = (s, tg^{499}) \pmod{p}$ .

**Problem 8 Canaries Schmanaries****(18 points)**

The following code runs on a 32-bit x86 system. **Stack canaries are enabled**, but other memory safety defenses are disabled. As in Project 1, all four bytes of the canary are completely random.

The compiler does not rearrange stack variables. Note the `volatile` keyword on line 1: this means the arguments `s1` and `s2` are loaded from memory whenever referenced by `doit`, instead of being stored in registers. APPENDIX: See the Appendix for a list of C functions.

```

1 void doit(char* volatile s1, char* volatile s2) {
2     char buffer[16];
3     strcpy(buffer, s1);
4     strcpy(s1, s2);
5     printf("%s\n%s\n%s\n", buffer, s1, s2);
6 }
7
8 int main() {
9     char s1[64]; char s2[64];
10    fgets(s1, sizeof s1, stdin);
11    fgets(s2, sizeof s2, stdin);
12    doit(s1, s2);
13 }

```

- (a) Which line contains a memory safety vulnerability? What is the name of the vulnerability present on that line?

**Solution:** Line 3: buffer overflow.

- (b) Complete the diagram of the stack, right before line 3. Assume normal (non-malicious) program execution. You do not need to write the values on the stack, only the names. There are no extraneous boxes. As in discussion, the bottom of the page represents the lower addresses.

compiler padding = 0x00000000
main's canary
char s1 [64]
char s2 [64]
s2
s1
saved eip / rip
saved ebp / sfp
doit's canary
char buffer[16]

- (c) Now we will exploit the program. There is already shellcode at the address 0xbffffdead. Using gdb, you discovered that the address of main's canary is 0xbffffdab4. Due to a bug in the compiler, you discover that although stack canaries are present, **they are not checked!** Complete the Python script below in order to successfully exploit the program.

NOTE: The Python syntax 'A' \* n indicates that the character 'A' will be repeated n times. The syntax \xRS indicates a byte with hex value 0xRS.

```
s1 = 'A' * ____ + '-----' + \
    ,
    ,-----'
s2 = 'B' * ____ + '-----' + \
    ,
    ,-----'

print s1
print s2
```

**Solution:**

```
s1 = 'A' * 24 + '\xad\xde\xff\xbf'
s2 = 'anything'
```

Note that there is a slight technical nit, since fgets adds a newline and a terminating NUL character. This means that such a solution clobbers the address of s1. In practice this is unlikely to be an issue, although one can get around it by writing the original values into s1 and s2. We didn't deduct points from solutions which failed to notice this issue.

- (d) Unfortunately, the bug in the previous part was fixed, and now your exploit must successfully bypass the stack canary. As in part (c), there is already shellcode at the address 0xbffffdead and the address of main's canary is 0xbffffdab4. Complete the Python script below in order to successfully exploit the program.

HINT: You should do the following. Start by using your exploit from the part above. Overwrite the arguments s1 and s2 of doit to ensure that the second strcpy will "fix" the canary. Note that the main's function frame has the same canary as the canary that should appear in doit's function frame. The use of the volatile keyword ensures that s1 and s2 are passed using their values from the stack. Since your solution should overwrite the pointer s2, it does not matter what it originally points to.

```
s1 = 'A' * ____ + '-----' + \
    ,
    ,-----' + \
    ,
    ,-----'

s2 = 'not needed, see the hint'
```

```
print s1
print s2
```

**Solution:**

```
s1 = 'A' * 24 + '\xad\xde\xff\xbf'+'\x20\xda\xff\xbf'+'\xb4\xda\xff\xbf'
s2 = 'not needed, see the hint'
print s1
print s2
```

Explanation: after the execution of the first `strcpy` on line 3, our stack looks as follows:

main's canary
char s1[64]
char s2[64]
s2 = 0xbfffdab4
s1 = 0xbfffa20
saved eip = 0xbffdead
saved ebp = AAAA
doit's canary = AAAA
char buffer[16] = A...A

Even though the canary is messed up after the first `strcpy`, this does not cause the program to exit. Stack canaries are only checked before we exit the function.

Note that we have now overwritten the arguments to `doit`. We have engineered the stack such that `s2 = &main's canary` and `s1 = &doit's canary`. The next `strcpy` on line 4 therefore fixes `doit's canary`. The compiler padding of all 0s is useful, because it acts as a NUL-terminator for the `strcpy`. It does clobber the last byte of the saved `ebp`, but that doesn't matter since the shellcode will execute before this becomes a problem.

The exploit works with probability  $\approx 63/64$ , since the canary might have a NUL byte, making it impossible to copy via `strcpy`.

Note that an optimizing compiler might save the value of `s1` in a register in between the two `strcpy` calls, which would prevent this exploit, but the use of the `volatile` keyword prevents this.

## Selected C Manual Pages

```
char *fgets(char *s, int size, FILE *stream);
```

`fgets()` reads in at most one less than `_size_` characters from `_stream_` and stores them into the buffer pointed to by `_s_`. Reading stops after an EOF or a newline. If a newline is read, it is stored into the buffer. A terminating null byte (`'\0'`) is stored after the last character in the buffer.

```
int printf(const char *format, ...);
```

`printf()` produces output according to the format string `_format_`.

```
ssize_t read(int fd, void *buf, size_t count);
```

`read()` attempts to read up to `_count_` bytes from file descriptor `_fd_` into the buffer starting at `_buf_`.

```
char *strcpy(char *dest, const char *src);
```

The `strcpy()` function copies the string pointed to by `_src_`, including the terminating null byte (`'\0'`), to the buffer pointed to by `_dest_`.

```
size_t strlen(const char *s);
```

The `strlen()` function calculates the length of the string `_s_`, excluding the terminating null byte (`'\0'`).



# Foot-Shooting Prevention Agreement

I, \_\_\_\_\_, promise that once  
Your Name

I see how simple AES really is, I will not implement it in production code even though it would be really fun.

This agreement shall be in effect until the undersigned creates a meaningful interpretive dance that compares and contrasts cache-based, timing, and other side channel attacks and their countermeasures.

X \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature Date